SPATIAL AND ENERGY RESOLUTIONS OF A HEXAGONAL ANIMAL PET SCANNER BASED ON LGSO CRYSTAL AND FLAT-PANEL PMT
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1. INTRODUCTION

Together with clinical positron emission tomography (PET) scanners designed for human imaging, there is a growing demand for a high-performance PET scanner dedicated to small animals, such as rats and mice. Consequently, many investigators have focused on the development of small animal PET devices with better resolution and sensitivity. Most of the research on animal scanners has focused on achieving the goal of simultaneously improving spatial resolution and sensitivity [1]. To achieve this, several methods to obtain depth of interaction (DOI) information have been proposed [2-6]. In our previous study [7], we demonstrated the feasibility of three-layer depth of interaction (DOI) detectors for a small animal PET using the Monte-Carlo simulation. In this system, three-level DOI encoding was possible due to the relative offset between crystals by one-half a crystal pitch in the x- and y-directions, along with different decay times.

As an intermediate step toward the full three-layer DOI PET system, we have built a single-layer full-ring system based on an LGSO crystal and a flat-panel PMT. The single-layer PET system has the advantage of simple structure and electronics, with relatively low cost. With a short length and a small cross-sectional dimension of crystals, the single-layer PET system has the potential to achieve ~1 mm³ volumetric resolution without a sophisticated reconstruction algorithm, such as resolution recovery using point spread function and a point source

The aim of this study was to explore the spatial and energy resolutions of a PET scanner that we have recently developed. The scanner, which consists of six detector modules with 1-layer LGSO crystals, has a hexagonal configuration with a face-to-face distance of 86.4 mm between two opposite PET modules; such properties facilitate the imaging of small animals. A ²²Na point source was employed to estimate horizontal and vertical spatial resolutions. To assess the energy resolution, a uniform ¹⁸F cylindrical phantom was scanned. A software-based spectrum analysis of list-mode data was used to assign a local energy window centered on the photopeak position for every single crystal. For the image reconstruction, an ML-EM algorithm was used. The spatial resolutions at the center of the scanner were 0.99 mm in the horizontal direction and 1.13 mm in the vertical direction. The energy resolution averaged over each PMT ranged from 13.3%-14.3%, which gave an average value of 13.8%. These results show that this simple system is promising for small animal imaging with excellent spatial and energy resolutions.
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In this paper, we report the results of performance measurement, including those for spatial and energy resolutions. Section 2 describes the single-layer PET system, provides measurements of the spatial and energy resolutions, and outlines a non-uniformity correction algorithm. The results of the energy and spatial resolutions, along with a PMT non-uniformity correction, are described in Section 3. A summary and conclusions are presented in Section 4.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Single-layer Hexagonal Animal PET

The PET scanner we have built employs a flat-panel multi-anode PMT (Hamamatsu H9500) and 7-mm long L\textsubscript{1.9}GSO (Lu\textsubscript{1.9}G\textsubscript{0.1}Si\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}:Ce) scintillators with a cross-sectional area of 1.5 x 1.5 mm\textsuperscript{2}, as shown in Fig. 1. Fig. 2 shows the hexagonal configuration of the prototype system. One block detector, as shown in Fig. 3, has 841 crystals in a 29 x 29 matrix coupled to a PMT. The gap between crystals was filled with 3M ESR strips that crossed over each other to form squares. The method, known as the grid method, was first proposed by the Washington group [9]. The flat-panel PMT has 256 anode pixels in a 16 x 16 matrix; its effective area is 49 x 49 mm\textsuperscript{2}. The peak wavelength of the photons generated in the scintillator is 420 nm, which matches the peak wavelength of the PMT [10]. A total of six block detectors were placed to form a hexagonal configuration, and the average face-to-face diameter of the ring was 86.4 mm. Each PMT output signal from the 256 anodes was connected to a charge division circuit, which generated five output signals. Four of the five signals were used to determine the positions of interaction, and one dynode signal served to make a gate signal for coincidence events. A pulse from one dynode channel was switched to a digital pulse with a discrimination threshold of -50 mV and the pulse width...
of the digital pulse was equal to one-half of the coincidence window. The aim of this conversion was to determine whether or not two of the rectangular pulses from different PMTs would overlap. The digital signal with the duration of the coincidence window was produced at the same time whenever there were overlapping pulses. The coincident logic shown in Fig. 4 was built on a Field Programmable Gate Array (FPGA) using digital signals from six detector modules to generate a GATE signal of 400 ns to the CAEN VME QDC modules. With the presence of gate signals, the signals containing information about the position of interaction from the charge division circuit were digitized by CAEN QDC modules and digitized data were saved in list-mode. After spectrum analysis and reconstruction utilizing these data, sinograms and reconstructed images can be produced.

2.2 Detection of Coincidence Events

The coincidence detector system was implemented on a XILINX Spartan 3 FPGA chip using Verilog HDL. The system had six inputs connected to the discriminated outputs of six PMT blocks, one output signal (gate signal) to indicate coincidence events, and three control signals to set system operation conditions. The coincidence window, gate pulse length, and veto time were set by control signals. The coincidence detector module had a veto function for controlling the data transfer rate of the output signal because the output was connected to the band-limited CAEN VME QDC module.

To implement the coincidence function as digital logic, inputs were converted to pulses having the same length as a coincidence time window. The converted signals were fed into the determiner logic, which consisted of an AND and OR chain, and the coincidence events were detected. The performance of the coincidence detection system depended on the operating clock frequency of the FPGA chip because this process was based on sequential logic, such as that of a register or counter.

The maximum clock frequency of this system was 250 MHz; thus, the PET system had a 2 ns minimum coincidence time window when it ran in the double-data rate (DDR) mode. However, we operated the FPGA chip in the 200 MHz single-data rate (SDR) mode, and obtained a 5 ns coincidence time window step. The system also had an approximately 18 ns output delay due to internal routing delay, thus allowing a controllable coincidence window time and an output pulse length from 5 ns to 1 µs in 5 ns steps. This also allowed a controllable veto time, which was from 0-25.5 µs in 0.5 µs steps.

2.3 Correction of PMT Non-uniformity

Since the crystals were placed in slightly different positions in each block detector, and blobs in the flood map of each detector were not evenly spaced, crystal positions from the six detector modules had to be identified by fishnets obtained from a software-based algorithm (Gaussian

Fig. 4. Generation of Coincident Detection Signal.
and Laplacian filtering, peak detection, and boundary identification using minimum distance map) [10]. The radioactive decay of $^{176}$Lu in the L$_3$GSO scintillator was used to obtain crystal position maps. Beta-minus particles and associated gamma rays were simultaneously detected by triggering single events from the six detector modules. The crystal maps were used in all analyses, providing the exact positions of the crystals.

In addition, because of the non-uniform efficiency of the sensitive pixels in the multi-anode PMT, the energy spectrum of each individual crystal may have a different photopeak position. When the different spectra of crystals were summed over a PMT, a broad energy distribution was produced. When the broad energy spectrum was used without any correction, the possibility of false discrimination between true and scattered events increased. Therefore, we developed a software package with which a spectrum analysis was performed on list-mode data in order to assign a local energy window centered on the photopeak position for every single crystal determined by the fish-nets. The cylindrical phantom of the $^{18}$F solution scanning data was used to set an energy window for each crystal. Only counts within the local energy window were considered to be valid events. In such a way, variations that were caused by the non-uniform efficiency of PMTs were successfully reduced compared to the case of setting an identical energy window for all sensitive pixels.

### 2.4 Spatial Resolution Measured with a Point Source

The method of evaluating the spatial resolution described here followed the NEMA standard [11]. By imaging a point source in air, we characterized the FWHM of point spread functions (PSFs) from the reconstructed image. Measurement of spatial resolution was performed using a $^{22}$Na point source (half-life, 2.6019 y), with a diameter of 0.25 mm, placed in a plastic disk with a 25-mm diameter (Isotope Products Laboratories). To place the source in a transaxial and axial center, a laser-guided and motor-controlled animal bed was used. The bed position could be controlled technically in units of 10 $\mu$m in the axial and vertical directions, and 100 $\mu$m in the horizontal direction. The activity of the source was 81.8 kBq and data were acquired in list-mode. The list-mode data set were sorted into a 3D sinogram using double sampling without axial compression or sinogram mashing. The data were then rebinned into 2D data using the single slice rebinning algorithm. For the image reconstruction, the MLEM algorithm was used with a pre-computed system matrix element, which was calculated as the area of intersection between each pixel and the rectangular line of the response (tube of response algorithm). The spatial resolution was measured in a transverse slice at the axial center. The resolutions were specified as a full width at one-half maximum (FWHM), which was estimated using a linear interpolation method [11-12].

### 2.5 Energy Resolution Measured with a Cylindrical Phantom

We assessed the energy resolution using a cylindrical test phantom filled with $^{18}$F solution (half-life, 109.77 m). The phantom was made of plastic and had a length of 90 mm and an inner diameter of 40 mm. The initial activity was 1.85 MBq and the acquisition time was 60 min. The data were acquired in list-mode and the same method described in Section 3 was used for reconstruction.

### 3. RESULTS

#### 3.1 Correction of PMT Non-uniformity

Fig. 5 shows a flood map from one of the six detector modules and a projection profile. We obtained an average peak-to-valley ratio of 10.5. A fish net, as shown in Fig. 6,
was derived from the flood map using the software algorithm [10]. The photopeak position maps from the six block detectors are shown in Fig. 7. Due to the non-uniform light output from the scintillator and the gain difference of the PMT channels, the photopeak position in each crystal was different. Consequently, the integrated energy spectrum of one block detector before photopeak calibration showed a broad photopeak and a significant overlap between the photopeak and Compton scattered events (Fig. 8(a)). After matching the photopeak positions of all individual crystals, the integrated energy spectrum was changed into a more appropriate shape (narrower and higher photopeak and clearer separation of the photopeak events from the Compton scattered events; Fig. 8(b)).
3.2 Spatial Resolution

We obtained $1.50 \times 10^6$ valid coincidence events at the axial and transaxial centers. Fig. 9 shows the sinogram and reconstructed transaxial image; Fig. 10 shows the profiles in the horizontal and vertical directions. The spatial resolutions at the center of the scanner were 0.99 mm FWHM in the horizontal direction and 1.13 mm FWHM in the vertical. The FWHM in the vertical direction appears to be worse than the FWHM in the horizontal direction, mainly because of the effect of gaps between

Fig. 8. Energy Spectrum Over All Crystals in a PMT Before (a) and After (b) Photopeak Calibration.

Fig. 9. Sinogram and Reconstructed Image.

Fig. 10. Profiles of a Point Source to Measure the Spatial Resolution.
the block detectors. These gaps were unavoidable when we formed the PET system as a hexagonal configuration. Unlike the case for the horizontal direction, in the vertical direction there were spaces between crystal arrays so that annihilation photons escape without interaction. Only adjacent crystals contributed to the detection of photons. The relatively large area of flat-panel PMTs and the requirement of having a smaller face-to-face diameter of the ring for small animal imaging led to significant reconstruction artifacts when a filtered backprojection reconstruction algorithm was applied. Therefore, all scanned PET data were reconstructed using an MLEM reconstruction (iteration number=32) with exact position information for each LOR element to minimize these artifacts.

3.3 Energy Resolution

A total of 1.58 x 10^6 true coincidence counts were obtained. The distribution of energy resolution for each crystal in all PMTs is presented in Fig. 11. Table 1 describes the mean and standard deviation of energy resolution for all PMTs. The energy resolution averaged over each PMT ranged from 13.3%-14.3%, which gave an average value of 13.8%.

### 4. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

In this paper, the spatial and energy resolutions of a single-layer hexagonal animal PET system were examined. The system yielded satisfactory results, as follows: an MLEM-based spatial resolution of 0.99 mm (horizontal) and 1.13 mm (vertical); and a mean energy resolution of 13.8%. Nevertheless, further studies will have to make great effort for the sake of perfectly completing a three-layer DOI PET. Most importantly, a hardware-based PMT output correction is preferred rather than a software-based method. Therefore, correction for non-uniform gain in every channel of the PMT must be taken into account in our next study. We would also like to note that this system should be extended to a three-layer configuration, so as to further improve both resolution and sensitivity.

![Fig. 11. Distribution of Energy Resolution of Each Crystal.](image)

**Table 1. Energy Resolution of 6 PMTs (Mean and Standard Deviation)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean energy resolution [%]</td>
<td>13.9±2.32</td>
<td>14.3±3.32</td>
<td>14.3±2.54</td>
<td>13.4±2.10</td>
<td>13.4±3.07</td>
<td>13.3±3.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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